

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/766,477	01/19/2001	Klan Teng Eng	TI-22944.2	2137
71	590 03/13/2002			
Mark E. Courtney			EXAMINER	
Texas Instruments Incorporated P.O. Box 655474, MS 3999			MITCHELL, JAMES M	
Dallas, TX 75	265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/766,477	ENG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James Mitchell	2827			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statenty - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	4. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of to but will apply and will expire SIX (6) M he realisation to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u> 2 March 2001</u> .				
	This action is non-final.	or the manife in			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner. —				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.03(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b)	asapproved by the Examinor.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.0 \$ 440(a) (d) or (f)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S	5.C. 9 (19(a)-(u) or (i).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the Internation	a list of the certified copies	s not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	re provisional application f	has been received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ter:			

Application/Control Number: 09/766,477

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This office action is in response to applicant's supplemental papers filed July 12,
 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation " said wire bonding" in Line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b)

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/766,477 Page 3

Art Unit: 2827

6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,320,126 in view of Lee et al. (U.S 6,013,946).

- 7. Patent '126 discloses at claims 1, 2 and 6: an integrated circuit package comprising a carrier having a top surface, a side and one or more routing strips being integral with said carrier; one or more terminals disclosed on a side of said carrier, at least one of said terminals being electrically connected with at least one of said routing strips; a chip, adhered to said carrier, said chip having one or more bonding pads, wherein said one or more bonding pads are I/O electrically connected to at least one of said routing strips; and potting material covering at least a portion of said routing strips, the electrical connection and bonding pads; said carrier includes at least one bus bar being integral with said carrier, said at least one bus bar electrically connected to at least one of said terminals on said side surface of said carrier and solder ball disposed on at least one of said terminals disposed on said side surface of said carrier.
 - 8. Patent'126 does not explicitly claim a wire bonding, however Lee utilizes a wire bond (Fig. 3).
 - 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a wire bond for the electrical connection of Patent '126, in order to form an interconnection as taught by Lee (Line 15, Column 8).
 - 10. With respect to claims 4-10, absent evidence of criticality, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to form either the carrier, potting material or the package at different thickness, since such a modification would have involved a mere

Application/Control Number: 09/766,477

Art Unit: 2827

change in size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955), Gardner v. Tec, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that 11. form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee. 12.
- Lee discloses an integrated circuit package comprising a carrier (120) having a 13. top surface, a side and one or more routing strips (121) being integral with said carrier; one or more terminals ("land", 122) disclosed on a side of said carrier, at least one of said terminals being electrically connected with at least one of said routing strips; a chip (130) adhered (142) to said carrier, said chip having one or more bonding pads (131), wherein said one or more bonding pads are I/O electrically connected to at least one of said routing strips via a wire bond; and potting material (161) covering at least a portion of said routing strips (Fig.6 shows portion of strip within cavity that is covered by potting), wire bonding and bonding pads; said carrier includes at least one bus bar (115; inherent within metal bar) being integral with said carrier, said at least one bus bar electrically connected to at least one of said terminals on said side surface of said

Application/Control Number: 09/766,477 Page 5

Art Unit: 2827

carrier, and solder ball (170) disposed on at least one of said terminals disposed on said side surface of said carrier.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
 - 17. Claims 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee as applied to claim 1.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/766,477

Art Unit: 2827

18. With respect to claims 4-10, absent evidence of criticality, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to form either the carrier, potting material or the package at different thickness, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955), *Gardner v. Tec*, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kinsman (U.S 2001/0014489), Azuma et a. (U.S 6,169,325), Burn (U.S 5,528,075), Distefano (U.S 6,191,473) and Neumann (U.S 5,258,576).

The prior discloses in Kinsman and Azuma the use of vertical integrated circuit packages, in Burns the use of a carrier with a thickness of 4 mils, and in Distefano and Nuemann the use of a bus integrated in a carrier.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3230 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2827

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

jmm

March 6, 2002

DAVID E. GRAYBILL PRIMARY EXAMINER